पृष्ठ संख्या 1

1

// समक्ष माननीय उच्च न्यायालय छ0ग0 बिलासपुर (छ0ग0) //

MCC-65/2006

6/1



(3)

श्रीचंद चंदानी आत्मज स्व0 श्री आर0जे0 चंदानी
पता- 24 सिंधी कालोनी रायपुर नाका तह0
वो जिला दुर्ग (छ0ग0)

CAVEAT
Single Bench

...आवेदक/पक्षकार

विरूद्ध
====

श्रीमती मीना चंदानी जौजे श्री चंद चंदानी
आत्मजा स्व0 श्री एच0व्ही0 लालवानी
गली नं0 3 रविग्राम तेलीबांधा रायपुर
(छ0ग0)

P.R. No. 2664/06
Presented by Shri Shrichand Chandani
dated 07/11/06

..अनावेदिका/उत्तरवादीनी

प्रकरण पुन: विचार हेतु आदेश 47 नियम 1 के तहत प्रार्थना पत्र

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(45)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक MCC 65/06 **सन्** 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	17.04.2006 Applicant appears in person. The applicant had filed revision petition No. 81/2004 which was rejected by this Court on 21.2.2005. The instant application for review has been filed with the delay of 198 days. He has also filed an application under section 5 of the Limitation Act for condonation of delay in filing the review application which is supported by his own affidavit. Heard on M.C.P. No.201/2006 an application for condonation of delay. The applicant submits that after the dismissal of his revision petition by this Court he was in fact interested in the disposal of the original petition before the trial Court under section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act for restitution of conjugal rights. However, the non applicant in place of reaching amicable settlement had moved an application for recovery of the cost imposed by the trial court and under such circumstances he was compelled to file the instant application for review. Taking into consideration the fact that revision petition preferred by the applicant was dismissed on 21.2.2005 and looking to the reasons assigned by the applicant for not filing the review application within time and also considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is of the opinion that it is not a fit case to condone the delay in filing the review. Accordingly, the application M.C.P.No. 201/2006 is rejected. Consequently the review application is also dismissed **Sd/-** **Dhirendra Mishra** **Judge**	